UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS AUSTIN DIVISION

DORIS WILLIAMS,)
Plaintiff,)
VS.) Civil Action No. 1:13-cv-00397
ENHANCED RECOVERY COMPANY, LLC))
Defendant,)))

COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

DORIS WILLIAMS, ("Plaintiff"), through the undersigned counsel, DAVE LILLEY, alleges the following against ENHANCED RECOVERY COMPANY, LLC, ("Defendant"):

I. INTRODUCTION

1. This is an action for actual and statutory damages brought by Plaintiff, Doris Williams, an individual consumer, against Defendant, Enhanced Recovery Company, LLC, for violations of the law, including, but not limited to, violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692 et seq. (hereinafter "FDCPA"), which prohibits debt collectors from engaging in abusive, deceptive, and unfair practices.

II. JURISDICTION

2. Jurisdiction of this court arises under 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d) and 28 U.S.C. § 1337. Declaratory relief is available pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and 2202. Venue in this District is proper in that the Defendant transacts business here.

III. PARTIES

- 3. Plaintiff, Doris Williams, is a natural person with a permanent residence in Pflugerville, Travis County, Texas 78660.
- 4. Upon information and belief, the Defendant, Enhanced Recovery Company, LLC, is a corporation engaged in the business of collecting debt in this state and in several other states, with its principal place of business located at 8014 Bayberry Road, Jacksonville, Duval County, Florida 32256. The principal purpose of Defendant is the collection of debts in this state and several other states, and Defendant regularly attempts to collect debts alleged to be due another.
- 5. Defendant is engaged in the collection of debts from consumers using the mail and telephone. Defendant regularly attempts to collect consumer debts alleged to be due to another. Defendant is a "debt collector" as defined by the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6).

IV. FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 6. The debt that Defendant is attempting to collect on is an alleged obligation of a consumer to pay money arising out of a transaction in which the money, property, insurance or services which are the subject of the transaction are primarily for personal, family, or household purposes, whether or not such obligation has been reduced to judgment.
- 7. Within one (1) year preceding the date of this Complaint, Defendant, in connection with the collection of the alleged debt, continued to contact Plaintiff after being informed that Plaintiff is not the alleged debtor that Defendant is trying to locate.
- 8. Within one (1) year preceding the date of this Complaint, Defendant, in connection with the collection of the alleged debt, attempted to communicate with Plaintiff numerous times and with such frequency as to harass and abuse the Plaintiff
- 9. The natural consequences of Defendant's statements and actions were to unjustly condemn and vilify Plaintiff for her non-payment of the debt he allegedly owed.

- 10. The natural consequences of Defendant's statements and actions were to produce an unpleasant and/or hostile situation between Defendant and Plaintiff.
- 11. The natural consequences of Defendant's statements and actions were to cause Plaintiff mental distress.
- 12. Defendant utilized unfair and unconscionable means to collect on Plaintiff's alleged debt, by harassing Plaintiff after knowing that Plaintiff is not the alleged debtor that Defendant is trying to locate.

V. 1ST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

- 13. Plaintiff repeats and realleges and incorporates by reference to the foregoing paragraphs.
- 14. Defendants violated the FDCPA. Defendant's violations include, but are not limited to, the following:
 - (a) Defendant violated $\S 1692b(3)$ of the FDCPA by calling a Plaintiff who is not the debtor more than once without being requested to do so by the third party and without the reasonable belief that an earlier response from the third party was erroneous or incomplete and that now the third party has correct or complete location information; and
 - (b) Defendant violated §1692d of the FDCPA by engaging in conduct the natural consequences of which is to harass, oppress, or abuse any person in connection with the collection of an alleged debt; and
 - (c) Defendant violated $\S1692d(2)$ of the FDCPA by using obscene or profane language or language the natural consequences of which is to abuse the hear or reader in connection with the collection of an alleged debt; and
 - (d) Defendant violated §1692d(5) of the FDCPA by causing a telephone to ring or engaging any person in telephone conversation repeatedly and/or continuously with the intent to annoy, abuse or harass any person at the called number; and
 - (e) Defendant violated §1692e of the FDCPA by using a false, deceptive, or misleading representation or means in connection with the collection of the alleged debt; and

- (f) Defendant violated §1692e(10) of the FDCPA by using false representation or deceptive means in connection with the collection the alleged debt; and
- (g) Defendant violated $\S 1692f$ of the FDCPA by using unfair or unconscionable means in connection with the collection of an alleged debt.
- 15. Defendant's acts as described above were done intentionally with the purpose of coercing Plaintiff to pay the alleged debt.
- 16. As a result of the foregoing violations of the FDCPA, Defendant is liable to the Plaintiff, Doris Williams, for declaratory judgment that Defendant's conduct violated the FDCPA, actual damages, statutory damages, and costs and attorney fees.

VI. 2ND CLAIM FOR RELIEF

- 17. Plaintiff repeats, realleges, and incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully stated herein.
- 18. Plaintiff repeats and realleges and incorporates by reference the foregoing paragraphs.
- 19. Defendant violated TDCPA § 392. Defendant's violations of TDCPA § 392 include, but are not limited to the following:
- (a) Defendant violated TDCPA § 392.304(19) by using any other false representation or deceptive means to collect on the alleged debt or obtain information concerning Plaintiff.
- 20. Defendants' acts as described above were done intentionally with the purpose of coercing Plaintiff to pay the alleged debt.
- 21. As a result of the foregoing violations of the TDCPA, Defendant is liable to the Plaintiff for actual damages, statutory damages, attorney's fees, interests and costs, as a result of a violation of the TDCPA.

4

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests that judgment be entered against

Defendant, Enhanced Recovery Company, LLC, for the following:

- A. Declaratory judgment that Defendant's conduct violated the FDCPA and TDCPA.
- B. Actual damages.
- C. Statutory damages.
- D. Costs and reasonable attorney fees.
- E. Awarding Plaintiff any pre-judgment and post-judgment interest as may be allowed under the law.
- F. For such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

VII. DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Plaintiff, Doris Williams, demands Trial by jury in this action.

This 14th day of May, 2013.

ALEX SIMANOVSKY & ASSOCIATES, LLC

/s/ Dave Lilley

Dave Lilley, Esq. TX Bar No. 24035064 Attorney for Plaintiff

Alex Simanovsky & Associates, LLC 1912 Loop 11 Wichita Falls, TX 76306 Phone: 1-866-865-3666, Ext. 1056

Fax: 877-570-5413

Corporate Office Address: 2300 Henderson Mill Road, Suite 300 Atlanta, GA 30345

Phone: (770) 414-1002, Ext. 1012

Fax: (770) 414-9891